
Regulation 20 Local Green Space Assessment Representations in Document Content Order

Hambleton Local Plan

March 2020

Comments

Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (30/07/19 to 17/09/19)

Comment by	Church Commissioners for England (- 1049922)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-24
Response Date	17/09/19 09:45
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.11
Files	2019-09-17 Deloitte Church Commissioners Delivery Document 2019-09-17 Deloitte Church Commissioners LGS Form LPreg19-LGS-24.pdf 2019-09-17 Deloitte Church Commissioners Written Representation

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on N/110/0003/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Within the LGS extant allocation NM5C (which forms part of the wider emerging NOR1 allocation) is identified as a 'nominated site' (reference N/110/0003/G). The Commissioners agree with the recommendation that the site should not be allocated as LGS as it is not "demonstrably special" to the local community. It therefore fails to meet all of the LGS criteria as contained within the NPPF. In light of the above, the re-allocation of extant allocation NM5C as LGS would not be justified or consistent with national policy. The Commissioners' therefore agree with the recommendation that the land is not allocated as such. PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED REPRESENTATION DOCUMENT PREPARED BY DELOITTE AND THE ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY DOCUMENT FOR FURTHER DETAIL.

Overview The Local Green Space Strategy ('LGS') outline the assessment process for determining if nominated sites meet the LGS criteria. A summary table is also provided, showing if designation has been supported or not supported and the reason for the allocation. The LGS currently out for consultation covers the sites assessed in Phase 1 and 2, phases 3 and 4 are yet to be consulted on. Nominated Sites Within the LGS extant allocation NM5C(which forms part of the wider emerging NOR1 allocation) is identified as a 'nominated site' (reference N/110/0003/G). The Commissioners agree with the recommendation that the site should not be allocated as LGS as it is not "demonstrably special" to the local community. It therefore fails to meet all of the LGS criteria as contained within the NPPF. Summary In light of the above, the re-allocation of extant allocation NM5C as LGS would not be justified or consistent with national policy. The Commissioners' therefore agree with the recommendation that the land is not allocated as such.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-29
Response Date	17/09/19 10:09
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.12
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/073/016/G: Land South of River Leven.

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document. Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of this Green Space and we support HDC's rationale for designation. We recommend extending the area of designation to include approximately a further 0.5 Ha at the North West corner of the site. (See below). [See attached submission for map].

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	Scruton Parish Council (Mr R C Barron - 1026790)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-20
Response Date	10/09/19 10:20
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.15
Files	2019-09-10 Scruton Parish Council Map LPreg19-LGS-20.pdf 2019-09-10 Scruton Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-20.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

new site B/127004G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Scruton Parish Council wish to have this site designated as a preferred local green space. It is one of the few remaining green, non arable, fields in the parish. and has not been ploughed in the past 100 years and is permanently occupied by families of cattle. The site is in full view of the dwellings, public house and highway on the east side of the area. It has a 200 year old cobble wall with sandstone coping on top of it next to the highway. There are contours throughout the field which show its historic background, and is thought the raised contour running across the field is a section of the medieval road to Northallerton. We feel that in respect of these characteristics it is very worthy of being considered as a Preserved Green Space, particularly as the parish is heavily dominated by intensive ploughing and arable farming practices.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

site not considered for Green Space

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-37
Response Date	17/09/19 10:55
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)

Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.11
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/125/004/G, 4A/G & 4B/G: Bank Wood and Rudby Farm

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of parts of 004/G and we support HDC's rationale for designation of 4A/G and 4B/G. However, we recommend that additional areas should be included within the designation as follows: a) A strip of land to the north east of 04/A up to and including the PRow running alongside the wood should be included. This strip has recreational value through the PRow and provides the community with the opportunity to experience the beauty and wildlife of the ASNW. b) In addition to 04B, the rest of the area south of the remnant hedgerow which runs approximately SW to NE across the site should be included. This area is crossed by two footpaths, includes archaeological remains and provides the setting for Grade II listed Rudby Green farmhouse (its last remaining connection with an agricultural landscape). With regards to checklist we have the following comments: • Items 5/5.1. These should be scored 'Yes' for the relevant parts of the site as it includes archaeological remains and provides the setting for Grade II listed Rudby Green farmhouse (its last remaining connection with an agricultural landscape). • Items 6. This should be scored 'Yes' as although the site as a whole is private land the PRows are well used by members of the community. We recommend that all of the area shown below should be designated as Green Space. [See full representation for map]

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID LPreg19-LGS-34
Response Date 17/09/19 10:32
Consultation Point Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment ([View](#))
Status Processed
Submission Type Email
Version 0.9
Files [2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf](#)

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/073/021/G & 021A/G: Land South of River Leven. West of Sexhow Hall Farm.

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

These sites are outside our designated Neighbourhood Plan area. We have not consulted on these proposed designations and therefore make no comment to on the proposed designation.

Comment by Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)

Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-28
Response Date	17/09/19 10:06
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.11
Files	HDC Local Plan Green Space Consultation Response Rudby PC LPreg19-LGS-28.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/073/015/G: Allotments

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of this Green Space and we support HDC's rationale for designation. The allotments are managed by the Parish Council which supports designation.

Comment by	Mrs Judith Cooper (1220191)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-25
Response Date	31/10/19 14:48

Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.8
Files	LPreg19-LGS-25 3rd party comments (LPreg19-LGS10).pdf LPreg19-LGS-25 Cooper email_redacted.pdf LPreg19-LGS-25 Appeal decision.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on S/125/004a/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

[see full representation for map] This important area was overlooked from the original HDC green space assessment. [redacted] suggested the NPSG look at it. The NPSG recommended putting it forward, but there was a sub-area of the land that the landowner wanted to keep out of green space, which is marked in red. This was where the landowner has had planning permission unanimously refused by the Planning Committee for a house (2018) and then for an all weather paddock (2019) in the past 18 months. We have not been able to find our comments on your website. However, we note from looking at your website that Mrs Jane Simpson [redacted] has also commented on the importance of this land (attached). We fully support her comments. Update: The all-weather paddock refusal recently went to appeal. In their decision (attached) to dismiss the appeal on Sept 17th 2019, the Planning Inspectorate have now also recognised the importance of this area of land (in red) which is in a Conservation Area and directly adjacent to a popular public footpath. Clearly its Conservation Area status is affording it a high level of protection. However, it would now appear to be sensible and

simpler if this artificially created sub-area were to be included with the larger area of proposed green space.

Comment by	Easingwold Town Council (Mrs J Bentley - 1025359)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-23
Response Date	17/09/19 12:20
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.8
Files	2019-09-17 Easingwold Town Council LPreg19-656_redacted.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on Easingwold sites

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Open Space, Sport & Recreation: ETC very much welcomes and recognises the investment being made in sports facilities and 3G artificial grass pitches at the Outwood Academy. This will be of major benefit to the town. ETC has been investing heavily in increasing the usability of its Millfields Park and this programme will continue for some time. We have a good range of childrens' play areas that are managed by the council. We are also investing in improving our green and other open spaces. We believe that Easingwold is not well provided with open spaces, green corridors, cycle tracks and

footpaths. These need to be strongly supported in any planned development. Easingwold wishes to retain all its designated green spaces and to see them protected for future generations to enjoy. [See full submission for table of green spaces]

Comment by	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd (- 1048401)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-26
Response Date	17/09/19 15:41
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.8
Files	2019-09-17 Taylor Wimpey LPreg19-LGS-26_redacted.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1a Appleby Wiske to Easingwold

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on Alt/E/041/058/G and 058A

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

On behalf of Taylor Wimpey North Yorkshire ("Taylor Wimpey"), we set out below representations in relation to Local Green Space Assessment (November 2018) that forms part of the evidence base of the Publication Draft Hambleton Local Plan ("the draft Local Plan"). As detailed in our representations to the draft Local Plan (submitted under separate cover), Taylor Wimpey has a land interest at Alne Road, Easingwold which is being promoted for housing development within the emerging Plan as sites

E/041/006 and E/041/012. The Local Green Space Assessment identifies sites Alt/E/041/058/G and 058A as a potential Local Green Space (“LGS”) within Easingwold. This forms part of Taylor Wimpey’s land off Alne Road; specifically the wooded area which is accessed off Drover’s Court. It is noted that this land is recommended to be formally designated as a LGS within the draft Local Plan on the basis of its “recreational value, tranquillity and richness of wildlife”. This same wooded area is included as a public amenity area within Taylor Wimpey’s masterplan for Alne Road (appended) that would be managed and maintained as part of a wider housing scheme. In the absence, however, of a supporting housing allocation within the draft Local Plan, Taylor Wimpey object to this land being designated as a LGS. This is on the basis that it is private land which, in its current form has no public access. It can, therefore, have no recreational value. In addition, in isolation, it could present a significant liability to the landowner in terms of public access (e.g. through anti-social behaviour such as the lighting of fires etc) if it is not managed and maintained in a comprehensive way. In the current absence, therefore, of sites Alt/E/041/058/G and 058A being brought forward as part of a more comprehensive development, this land should not be considered as a publicly accessible amenity space that is available to provide recreational value. Public access and associated recreational value would only be achieved through the allocation and subsequent development of the wider site to allow this part to be laid out as recreational space. On the basis of the above, sites Alt/E/041/058/G and 058A are considered to be unsuitable and undeliverable as a LGS and should be excluded from designation within the Local Plan as currently drafted.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-31
Response Date	17/09/19 10:21
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.8
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/073/018/G: North Green

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of this Green Space and we support HDC's rationale for designation. With regards to checklist item 9, we note that North Green is the location of the War Memorial. A triangular area which is part of the designated village Green at the end of the cul-de-sac should be included in the Green Space designation. (See below). [See full representation for map] North Green is managed by the Parish Council which supports designation.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-32
Response Date	17/09/19 10:26
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.8
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/073/019/G & 019A/G: Field At Belbrough Lane / Station Lane

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of the recreation area (19A/G) Green Space. We support HDC's rationale for designation of 019A/G and also support HDC's rationale for not designating the rest of the field. The recreation area is managed by the Parish Council which supports designation.

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-33
Response Date	17/09/19 10:29
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.7
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/073/020/G: Woodland between Sexhow Lane and River Leven.

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of this Green Space and we support HDC's rationale for designation. With regards to checklist items 7 & 7.2, our opinion is that this is a tranquil area.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-35
Response Date	17/09/19 10:34
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.7
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/073/022/G & 022A/G: Land along Hundale Gill.

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of this Green Space, however, we do not support HDC's rationale for designation of 022A/G. We note that there is no public access to or immediately adjacent to 022A/G, and although there is richness of wildlife there is no significant opportunity for the public to engage with the site so in our opinion, it cannot be considered to be demonstrably special.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-36
Response Date	17/09/19 10:47
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.8
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/125/007/G: Land at All Saint's Church

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of this Green Space and we support HDC's rationale for designation. We recommend extending the area designated to include: a) Land around the footpath which ascends from the burial ground to Rudby b) The burial ground extension as it forms an integral part of the proposed designation. c) The field on the valley bottom which provides an important part of the setting for the Grade I listed church [See full representation for map diagram]

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-38
Response Date	17/09/19 11:24
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.7
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on Additional Areas To Be Proposed for Designation Through The Neighbourhood Plan

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

In addition to the areas considered for designation by HDC, our Neighbourhood Plan has received submissions for several other areas in the village through our consultation work and we intend to submit fice further areas for designation through our Neighbourhood Plan: a) The recreation areas at the Village Hall (tennis courts, bowling green, children's play area) including associated parking and clubhouse facilities (see below) b) The Landscape Character Protection Area part of the 'Flagpole Field' at Highfield House, Enterpen. (see below) c) Part of the field to the south of South View. (see below) d) St Cuthbert's Church, Middleton on Leven e) Play area, Middleton on Leven [map referred to as "see below" can be viewed in the full representation]

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-27
Response Date	17/09/19 09:53
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.9
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/073/014/G: Cricket Ground

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of this Green Space and we support HDC's rationale for designation. With regards to checklist items 3.3, 6.2, and 9; we note that although membership is required the club is community based, spectator entry is free, and the club hosts community events such as the village fireworks display. The club committee have advised us that they support designation.

Comment by	Rudby Parish Council (Mrs J Pyle - 1026701)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-30
Response Date	17/09/19 10:18
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.8
Files	2019-09-17 Rudby Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-27.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/073/017/G: Village Green

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Our Neighbourhood Plan consultation work shows evidence of community support for designation of this Green Space and we support HDC's rationale for designation. With regards to checklist item 9, the Village Green is the main location for community events and displays including the 'Village Event', Christmas Tree, Beacon lighting ceremonies etc. The Village Green is managed by the Parish Council which supports designation.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Comment by	CPRE (- 1226618)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-21
Response Date	20/08/19 09:35
Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.4
Files	2019-08-20 CPRE LPreg19-438 451 453 454.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

Combined report

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Having had the opportunity to consider the evidence based as part of this consultation exercise which sits alongside the Publication Draft, CPRE NorthYorkshire has no comment to make in relation to the Sustainability Appraisal, Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Local Green Space Assessment. The methodologies and concluding results appear to follow national guidance and are thus considered sound.

Comment by	Highways England (Mr Simon Jones - 1025455)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-42
Response Date	13/09/19 10:39
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.2
Files	2019-09-13 Highways England LPreg19-1063_redacted.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

LGS adjacent to Strategic Road Network

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document. Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Highways England would point out that it is not Highways England's role to comment upon which area should be designated as local green spaces and rather Highways England's key priority is the safe and functional operation of the SRN. Where there are any local green spaces allocated next to the SRN, should these designated spaces change Highways England should be made aware.

Comment by	von Bradsky (1043036)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-2
Response Date	06/08/19 18:26
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Appendix 1b Great Ayton to Kirkby and Great Broughton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/057/020 &017&021&024

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

I object to the following sites not attaining GreenSpace status: ALT/S/057 ALT/S/057/020/G ALT/S/057/017/G ALT/S/057/024/G ALT/S/057/021/G For the following reasons: Parameter 4.3 - Kirby Lane offers stunning views of the Cleveland Hills - you state the 'views to the hills of NY Moors are distant' but this is not the case . I run to the bottom of these hills from Kirby Lane and the distance is just over 1 mile. The views of the Wainstones are unique. Parameter 6.3 - there IS a lot of informal recreation in all the sites along Kirby Lane with public footpaths, walking and dog walking, runners. Residents of Great Broughton are a community of dog walkers and this creates a great sense of community spirit. Parameter 7 - The views alone create a sense of tranquillity and with the dog walkers and walkers, runners all areas surrounding Great Broughton allow people to appreciate the beautiful countryside, lifts one's spirits and generates general well being. Surely this is worth preserving! Parameter 8 - there are a huge numbers of diverse birds in these areas - owls are frequently seen in these fields. Parameter 10 - thus I object to the designation of these sites as not worthy of attaining Greenspace status. Your parameters are too narrow in my view - it seems to be a tick box exercise and you have not listened to the residents of Great Broughton.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

Yes changes the status of all these areas to Greenspace to protect the environment and promote well being of all who access these sites

Comment by	Mr Nicholas Lee (1225638)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-17
Response Date	17/09/19 16:30
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.9

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/057/024/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

I'm surprised that the area ALT/S/057/024/G is not designated LGS in the draft plan. The land is crossed by a number of footpaths and offers considerable recreational opportunities for walkers and wildlife spotters against the attractive background of the Holme Beck woods.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

Reconsider draft non-designation as LGS.

Comment by

Hackforth Combined Parish Council (Mr Graham Copping - 1225325)

Comment ID

LPreg19-LGS-12

Response Date

16/09/19 19:11

Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
Files	2019-09-16 Hackforth Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-12

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1b Great Ayton to Kirkby and Great Broughton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/B/062/003/G ALT/B/062/004/G
ALT/B/062/004A/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Hackforth Combined Parish Council. It is our position that the current Local Plan does not meet the Test of Soundness. Specifically, it is our view that the decision to reject the proposed sites in Hackforth for designation as Local Green Space is not justified, given the evidence available. To that end, we will deliver by hand on 17th September (prior to the deadline), a dossier containing our proportionate evidence for your consideration. We submit that this evidence justifies that the sites nominated by Hackforth Combined Parish Council fulfil the criteria as detailed in the Hambleton District Council Local Green Space Assessment: Combined recommendations report from November 2018. We have also posted a pdf version of the dossier on the portal.

Supporting documents

If you would like you can support your comment with supporting documents. Please provide a description for any documents you upload and clearly reference them in your comment above.

If you want to refer to a publication that is available elsewhere or that is subject to copyright that you do not control please provide a link to a website where it is available or give a full reference (including author(s), full title and date of publication) in your comment.

By submitting a supporting document you give permission for the council to use it for the purposes of drawing up planning policy for Hambleton and to reproduce the document for such purposes.

Please note: There is no limit to the size of documents that can be uploaded but please only upload relevant documents and consider the use of extracts for long documents.

To upload more than one document first select your first document and upload it, then save your comment using the 'Save as draft' button at the bottom of the page. You can then select another document to upload.

Please be aware that documents that are uploaded will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please take care to make sure that no personal information such as email or postal addresses is included.

2019-09-16 Hackforth Parish Council LPreg19-LGS-12

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

Please refer to the dossier

Comment by	Mr Nicholas Lee (1225638)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-18
Response Date	17/09/19 16:43
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.10

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/057/017/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

I support designating part of this area - the field immediately east of the play area and abutting Kirkby Lane - as LGS. I do not support designating the whole of this area as mapped, as LGS. The field has remnant ridge and furrow plus attractive etched streamlet topography draining into a stream bed in the southerly corner. The field is crossed by a much used footpath (with views over the hills) that itself provides access to Millenium/Wainstones Wood, an area designated LGS in the draft plan.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

Extend designation of ALT/S/057/017A/G as LGS to include the field immediatey to the east of it and abutting Kirkby Lane. I do not support a wider extension.

Comment by	Mr Nicholas Lee (1225638)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-14
Response Date	17/09/19 15:57
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1b Great Ayton to Kirkby and Great Broughton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/057/017A/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

I support designating the play area (ALT/S/057/017A/G) as Local Green Space (LGS) given it's recreational use and historical significance as a former Quaker burial ground. I would in addition suggest that the 'L' shaped adjacent field immediately to the east of the play area and adjoining Kirkby Lane be included in the same LGS designation. This attractive field still has the remnant ancient ridge and furrow system visible and the etched topography of the streamlets feeding a stream bed on the southern corner. It also has a well used public footpath crossing diagonally across it. This footpath provides access to Wainstones Wood, itself designated as LGS in the draft plan. I note that the aforesaid field was considered as part of ALT/S/057/017A/G. To be clear, I do not support designation of 017A in its entirety just the field abutting Kirkby Lane as described in the foregoing paragraph.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

Extend the proposed LGS ALT/S/057/017A/G (play area on Kirkby Lane, Great Broughton) to include the adjacent field immediately to the east and abutting Kirkby Lane.

Comment by

Mr Nicholas Lee (1225638)

Comment ID

LPreg19-LGS-15

Response Date 17/09/19 16:18
Consultation Point Combined Recommendations Report ([View](#))
Status Processed
Submission Type Web
Version 0.5

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1b Great Ayton to Kirkby and Great Broughton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/057/022A/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

I support the designation of Millenium Wood/Wainstones Wood as Local Green Space

Comment by Mr Nicholas Lee (1225638)
Comment ID LPreg19-LGS-16
Response Date 17/09/19 16:23
Consultation Point Combined Recommendations Report ([View](#))
Status Processed
Submission Type Web
Version 0.4

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/057/018-019/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

I support the designation of ALT/S/057/018/G - Holme Beck and ALT/S/057/019/G - Village Green at Great Broughton 'cross roads' as Local Green Space

Comment by	Mrs Jane Simpson (1225512)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-10
Response Date	16/09/19 09:55
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Files	2019-09-16 J Simpson.pdf Recommended green space designation

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

Section 4

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

The field along the River Leven in Hutton Rudby, south of South View and north of the River Leven, should be designated as a green space (see supporting document). It is adjacent to the Grade 2 listed bridge, provides the setting for the adjacent Grade 1 listed Church, provides the iconic view along the River, is a major wildlife corridor, is in the conservation area and is open to views from the public access road along the northern edge, the public road on the east and the public right of way along the south bank of the River Leven. It meets all the criteria of paragraph 100 in the NPPF.

Supporting documents

If you would like you can support your comment with supporting documents. Please provide a description for any documents you upload and clearly reference them in your comment above.

If you want to refer to a publication that is available elsewhere or that is subject to copyright that you do not control please provide a link to a website where it is available or give a full reference (including author(s), full title and date of publication) in your comment.

By submitting a supporting document you give permission for the council to use it for the purposes of drawing up planning policy for Hambleton and to reproduce the document for such purposes.

Please note: There is no limit to the size of documents that can be uploaded but please only upload relevant documents and consider the use of extracts for long documents.

To upload more than one document first select your first document and upload it, then save your comment using the 'Save as draft' button at the bottom of the page. You can then select another document to upload.

Please be aware that documents that are uploaded will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please take care to make sure that no personal information such as email or postal addresses is included.

Recommended green space designation

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

The addition of a green space in Hutton Rudby

Comment by	Mrs Catherine Lowe (1220612)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-11
Response Date	16/09/19 18:55
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on Hutton Rudby ALT/S/073/022/G and ALT/S/073/022G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

The area ALT/S/073/022/G is privately owned land without any public access. It has been owned by my husband and myself since 2010 and has not been recommended by the council as local green space, which we would agree with. However - The area ALT/S/073/022A/G - this area is also land which is in part privately owned by ourselves and has no public access. The area owned by us in this allocation also forms a substantial part of our back garden! This area has been recommended by the

council as being suitable for local green space. We would not agree that the area, which we own, could or should be recommended by the council. Our concern with both of these is that although there is no public access and is privately owned, by designating it as an area of local green space, the local population and also members of the public not part of the village may wrongly feel that there is access. We have already had problems with people trespassing on these areas. They are not safe for any access to the general public with perilous cliff drops and significant numbers of wasp nests. We will of course remain unable to be held responsible for any injuries caused by trespassing on these areas of land. We are in possession of the deeds and plans outlining ownership of the areas as discussed above.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

Adjustment to the outlined area ALT/S/073/022A/G so that the area owned by us is not in any part included as a recommendation as a local green space.

Comment by	Mr Robert Harvey (1221257)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-1
Response Date	31/07/19 10:17
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/E/041/058/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

This area extends the Green Space to the south of Easingwold. There is not a great deal of Green Space designated in Easingwold compared to other towns.

Comment by	Mrs Judith Cooper (1220191)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-3
Response Date	04/09/19 15:51
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.10
Files	2019-09-04 Cooper_redacted LPreg19-LGS-3.pdf 2019-09-04 Cooper LPreg 19-LGS -3 Proposed Green Space Hutton Rudby_redacted.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 3 Response to Consultation

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on Hutton Rudby Green Space

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Dear James, Thank you for your prompt response. We were concerned that this important open space in Leven Valley opposite the Grade I listed Rudby Parish Church had been overlooked. It is important that it is considered and it was very surprising to us how that it had somehow avoided being looked at in earlier consultations. We can confirm that we originally contacted [redacted] in April 2018 (please see attached correspondence). We had contacted the chairman of the NPSG in 2017 about it being considered for Green Space designation (as soon as we became aware of Green Space). As you aware, the landowner is a longstanding member of the Rudby Parish Council and the NPSG, and sits on its Green Space working group. As previously expressed to HDC, we have concerns that considerations about not putting this land forward for earlier consultation, and subsequently excluding a significant sub-area of it from consideration, may have been influenced by the landowner's positions of responsibility. This is why we previously asked HDC to take an independent consideration before everything is finalised. NB Our District Councillor is also a member of the NPSG, but she has stepped down from two previous Planning Committees (which unanimously refused development of this land) because of her close association with the landowner. Kind regards, David and Judith Cooper. I can confirm that I received several documents including the five appendices mentioned in the email below from Dr Cooper. I can also confirm that this piece of land was not considered in our Local Green Space Assessment (which is available here - <https://consult.hambleton.gov.uk/portal/planning/lpreg19-lgs>). I don't know the timing of when this was brought to the attention of [redacted] so I don't know whether it would have been possible to include in our assessment, which was being finalised during the summer last year and was originally finished in October. What I can tell you is that it was my understand, from the debrief from Hannah before [redacted] left, that the neighbourhood plan steering group would be considering this piece of land for designation as Local Green Space in the emerging neighbourhood plan. As the planning policy team has been concentrating on preparing the new Local Plan for Publication, for which the representation period started yesterday, we have not had an opportunity to engage with the steering group about the neighbourhood plan. It was my intention to raise local green space designation with them when we next engaged with them. If you have any further queries please let me know Kind Regards James Campbell Re: Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment Following our telephone conversation today about the apparent absence of the area of land opposite the Grade I listed Rudby Parish Church; Please see some of the correspondence we have had with James Campbell. We had originally been dealing with Helen Langler. The land had not been put forward for consideration in the earlier phases of assessment, but subsequently was put forward by the NPSG. We are concerned that we cannot see it in our initial perusal of your current documents. Has this area being put forward for Green Space Designation?

Comment by	Mrs Susan Thomas (1224740)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-5
Response Date	08/09/19 16:18
Consultation Point	Combined Recommendations Report (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/B/062/004/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

This tract of land contains the remains of an earlier Hackforth and thus should be protected for future generations. It would be an act of desecration to bury it under more buildings, so it should be kept "special" in perpetuity . It also has other uses as it allows villagers to view one of the 2 listed buildings in the village. It is along the path beside this piece of land that many children approach the school, so what do they see on the land. They see the farmers flock of sheep through the year producing lambs they can realise that although they lambs look so attractive at the beginning of the year they will provide meals for the community. Secondly the children can be told about the ongoing history of their village represented by this field. Also perhaps most important such open spaces give us all a feeling of well being and helps our mental health. Even when one lives in a semi rural area the built landscape seems to be creeping up on us and we need to make marks in the sand to help our standard of living and for those who come after us.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

Designate the land as green space.

Comment by

Bedale Allotment Society Ltd (Mr BRUCE STAINSBY - 1225105)

Comment ID

LPreg19-LGS-7

Response Date 11/09/19 14:27
Consultation Point Appendix 1a ([View](#))
Status Processed
Submission Type Web
Version 0.7

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on B/011/005/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Support

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

On behalf of all the members of Bedale Allotments Society Ltd I am writing to state that we support the recommendation that the Allotment Gardens off Masham Road are designated as valuable green space in the Local Plan. The allotments have recreational, social, physical and educational value and their position IN the community makes them easily accessible. The site has recently been modified and upgraded and it is an aim of our society to further enhance the positive contribution which the gardens make to the pleasantness of local green space and in supporting 'nature'.

Comment by 76 signature petition (Pam Kent - 1228748)
Comment ID LPreg19-LGS-22
Response Date 17/09/19 12:04
Consultation Point Appendix 1b ([View](#))
Status Processed

Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.12
Files	2019-09-17 Orbis LPreg19-745.pdf 2019-09-17 P Kent petition LPreg19-745_redacted.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1b Great Ayton to Kirkby and Great Broughton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/S/057/024

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Having reviewed the council's assessment of the site in question, we consider that the site ALT/S/057/024/G does warrant inclusion in the local plan as a designated Local Green Space. In our view it performs an important local function to the local community as a valued open space bordering the village of Great Broughton, reinforcing the open visual and physical gap between Gt. Broughton and Kirkby, and providing a valued recreational space outside the confines of the village that is not otherwise available elsewhere. There are also important wildlife benefits to the site which are also appreciated by those using the footpaths crossing the suggested LGS. As such we conclude that on this point alone the publication draft plan is unsound. [See attached for general background] Comments and analysis on site ALT/S/057/024/G In order to argue the case for its inclusion as LGS it is important for the decision maker to better understand the site, its characteristics and use, which in our view, do make a very strong case for the site to be considered suitable as LGS, and which have been overlooked in the assessment by the council. The site comprises largely pastoral fields having an area of 6.1ha. It comprises three separate but linked fields stretching north from Kirkby Lane, with the village cricket field to the west and river Leven forming its western boundary, to the east of which lies the outer reaches of the village of Great Broughton. The Leven route itself is characterised by dense deciduous trees forming something of a natural green screen, as well as a haven for wildlife. It is important to note that two separate PRoWs cross the site (with another skirting its boundary) – one is a route from Kirkby Lane in the south running north, and another running east-west and linking Kirkby to Great Broughton. The two PRoWs cross in the middle of the site (shown below). Both PRoWs are well used,

given their proximity to and linkage between existing housing, and also form part of the footpath network linking into the local service centre of Stokesley and Cleveland Way National Trail to the south. The footpaths also provide important local recreational walks for local residents, allowing a circular route around the northern and eastern part of the village. The footpaths also facilitate an important route to school for local residents, who can bypass the main road through the village and take in the open space and wildlife within the suggested LGS en route to the school on Kirkby Lane. [see attached for figure showing local PRoW network] As stated, the site also forms a significant and reinforcing part of the important open gap that separates the villages of Great Broughton and Kirkby, a fact that is evident when traversing the PRoWs which cross it, where an air of tranquility can be gained, whilst allowing the village to be viewed against its backdrop of the Cleveland Hills and North York Moors National Park to the south. The photographs below demonstrate its scenic setting and open value. [see attached for photos] Southern entrance to the LGS as marked by public footpath signpost off Kirkby Lane. View looking east towards Great Broughton from the central PRoW within the site. Trees mark the route of the River Leven, with western-most houses of the village behind View south from the proposed LGS running alongside the west of Great Broughton with Cleveland Hills/ NYMNP in the distance Whilst the Council's assessment acknowledges the presence of two PRoWs crossing the site, in our view it has failed to properly assess the significance of the wider recreational value of the use of these to local residents. Rather, the assessment simply notes that these are PRoW – it does not consider or add any weight to their localised significance. In reality, the PRoW allows access to significant open space outside the villages of Great Broughton and Kirkby, which itself allows a proper appreciation of the physical separation of them, as well as the open setting of Great Broughton against the Cleveland Hills. This combination also gives a strong sense of tranquility to users immediately outside the village, which has been overlooked by the council despite it being listed as being within a tranquil area under the CPRE Tranquility map. In our view the sum of all the above aspects confirms that the site is used as a recreational area for residents in the local community and in this respect, as confirmed by the 'snap' petition attached to this submission (carried out by Ms. Kent over two days on 15th – 16th September and carrying 66 signatures – though more have been added to make this 75 as of 17/09/2019)[See attached petition with 76 signatures], is a highly valued open space in its own right. It seems clear that in its assessment checklist, the council appears to attach much greater weight to open space if it is used for sport or open play, since in this case it concludes that the site is not used for informal recreation. However, we would strongly argue that this is a failing of the assessment with respect to this particular site. Given the nature, proximity and general usage of the two PRoWs at the site, these go much further than simply providing an access route to the wider PRoW network, but provide an important recreational resource to the local community. This is facilitated by the PRoWs, but its value is derived from its inherent open character and position between the two villages. It is accepted that the NPPF states that PRoWs themselves would not warrant the designation of a site as a linear LGS (since PRoWs are protected as routes in any case). However, we consider it is the siting of the PRoWs within this wider open setting, adjacent to the village and being well-used for recreational walks and exercise, which warrants the protection of this wider space as LGS. As stated, with a reliance on other extant legislation to protect the PRoWs, this risks protecting the linear link without protecting its scenic value and overall recreational value to the local community. For example, the connection could simply be sited through a new housing development, should this occur, whilst its inherent value to the local community would be lost. Biodiversity and Wildlife benefits Part 7 of the council's assessment asks if the site holds any significance because of the 'richness of its wildlife'. On this the council's conclusion is it does not. However, whilst it is accepted that the greater proportion of the suggested LGS comprises farmed pastoral fields, and it is not subject to an area designation for wildlife, the eastern boundary of the site, formed by the river Leven, does clearly hold significant local wildlife value. Whilst we are not aware that detailed surveys have been carried out, it is likely that this part of the site supports at least 15 birds and reptiles on the local 'Priority Habitat and Species' list, which are regularly seen by local residents on the LGS land, along with other species that can be experienced here by users of the space, as set out in the table below: [see attached for table] Thus, whilst the LGS site may not be a designated area of biodiversity importance, it does nonetheless hold a rich spread of wildlife that can be experienced by its users within the space itself, and which clearly adds to its inherent value and importance to the local community. In light of the above, we would argue that attempted objective approach to assess this site (and other sites for that matter) has failed to take into account the inherent values of spaces such as this to the local community. This may be more of a nebulous feature to assess, but is perhaps one of the key contributing factors that lead to particular spaces such as this being important spaces to local communities in the first place, and should therefore be considered as part of the LGS assessment process. The space is after all well used and well appreciated locally,

as testified to by the comments of other local residents. As such we consider this could have been assessed under point 9 of the Council's assessment sheet, where the question is asked 'Does the LGS hold Local Significance because of any other features?'. However, the Council's assessment simply marks this as 'no'. In light of the above, we would argue that in combination, the site does hold such local significance, and therefore we would suggest that this site is reconsidered for this purpose and included in the list of Local Green Spaces within the emerging Local Plan. The final NPPF criterion requires that designating land as a LGS should be consistent with local planning of sustainable development; and, compliment investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services. On the first matter we do not see any reason why the protection of this space as a LGS would conflict with local sustainable development, whilst on the second matter, it is clear that an LGS here would not in any way preclude appropriate sustainable investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services within Hambleton district, since there is no foreseeable need for this land ever to be developed for housing (or other development) in the lifetime of the plan. We do note that a parcel of land including the suggested LGS here was put forward as an alternative housing site in the council's 'call for sites', but these are not supported by the council in the publication draft. The reasons for this are many, but principally, there is simply no need to release housing land of this scale within a relatively small Service Village, having few local services and no shop to meet day-to-day needs, and when the foreseeable housing needs of the district can be adequately met in more appropriate locations elsewhere in the housing market area (namely, at Stokesley and Great Ayton). As such, the designation of this site as LGS would in no way prejudice any other proactive elements of the emerging local plan, and would not harm the current economic output of the land through farming. Conclusion The Council's own assessment criteria suggests that the site does not meet the relevant threshold because the site is not demonstrably special to the local community which it serves. As set out above, we would argue strongly that the council's assessment has failed to holistically consider the merits of the site, its overall use and its inherent value to the local community. We conclude that the site is of significant recreational value to the local community which is special to the community it serves, offers users an area of particular tranquility outside the developed areas of the village that is not otherwise available, a well-used route by residents taking in its special qualities, and performs an important function of separating the villages of Great Broughton and Kirkby, whilst allowing users a distinct appreciation of this. The site is also inherently linked to the extensive wildlife resource positioned alongside the river Leven, which also benefits the wider LGS. These are valuable assets to the local community, worthy of protection and as such, we consider the site is suitable to be considered as a Local Green Space in the Local Plan.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

See above

Comment by	Mrs Susan Thomas (1224740)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-4
Response Date	08/09/19 16:17
Consultation Point	Appendix 1b (View)
Status	Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.7

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1b Great Ayton to Kirkby and Great Broughton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on ALT/B/062/003/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

I support this tract of land being designated as a open green space and object to the fact that the council has not supported it. Hackforth did not develop as most villages but was built by the local estate owner to house his workers, it was built in two distinct parts the north as accommodation and the south as a working area with mills stables and a public house. The two parts were kept apart by the tract of land we are discussing. The village has grown and is not now owned by the local landowner but its historical shape has been kept and should be kept so by keeping the tract of land "special" . Also the fact that this land often has cattle grazing on it gives the village a rural feel despite more housing being built and when walking between the 2 parts of the village a feeling of tranquillity and peace in this troubled world.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

The tract of land should be given green space status.

Comment by	Mr Patrick Montgomery (1224584)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-6
Response Date	09/09/19 11:54
Consultation Point	Appendix 1b (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.8
Files	2019-09-09 Montgomery 1 LPreg19-LGS-6 2019-09-09 Montgomery 2 LPreg19-LGS-19

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1b Great Ayton to Kirkby and Great Broughton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on Hutton Rudby

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

The Hutton Rudby Steering Group (SG) have nominated for Local Green Space only part of the Field in Leven Valley, South of South View, Hutton Rudby owned by Mr. Readman - a local Councillor and longstanding member of the Parish Council and Steering Group. The owner has proposed several planning applications including for a 5-bed dwelling on the site so it is clear that development is his goal. I would like to see the entire field granted Local Green Space. I have tried to put my views to the

SG on several occasion but now I get the feeling that they are adamant with going for a partial-site nomination ie. looking for reasons NOT to award full-site nomination. They put Paragraph 99 as the reason since the landowner would support partial nomination but would object to full site nomination. Personally, I believe that the vast majority of support in the community would be for full-site nomination but for the apparent reticence about paragraph 99 which has come about by careful staging of the community events that have taken place. I also understand that LGS does not need landowner support. Conclusion If full-site cannot be awarded then a fall-back to partial nomination would be fine. However, it appears that the steering group have preempted the Examiner's decision and will put forward only partial-site nomination and it will be too late to grant the entire field LGS designation. I have attached two documents that might support my observations.

Supporting documents

If you would like you can support your comment with supporting documents. Please provide a description for any documents you upload and clearly reference them in your comment above.

If you want to refer to a publication that is available elsewhere or that is subject to copyright that you do not control please provide a link to a website where it is available or give a full reference (including author(s), full title and date of publication) in your comment.

By submitting a supporting document you give permission for the council to use it for the purposes of drawing up planning policy for Hambleton and to reproduce the document for such purposes.

Please note: There is no limit to the size of documents that can be uploaded but please only upload relevant documents and consider the use of extracts for long documents.

To upload more than one document first select your first document and upload it, then save your comment using the 'Save as draft' button at the bottom of the page. You can then select another document to upload.

Please be aware that documents that are uploaded will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please take care to make sure that no personal information such as email or postal addresses is included.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

To include as Local Green Space the entire field in Leven Valley, South of South View, Hutton Rudby which is bounded by existing hedgerow and a well defined space.

I believe that, although the landowner wishes to develop part of the field, his support is not mandatory.

Comment by	Mr G McQuillen (1026582)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-8
Response Date	12/09/19 16:04
Consultation Point	Appendix 1c (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter

Version 0.8
Files [2019-09-12 G McQuillen LPreg19-218 redacted.pdf](#)

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on B/127/004/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Site ref. B/127/004/G and B/127/007 OS field 0002, 0010 and 0017 Station Road. OS field 7910 Ham Hall Lane This site should not be designated non preferred/mixed housing! It must be preserved as LOCAL GREEN SPACE The character of Scruton is defined by its views across open land and this site is extremely important and must not be lost.

Comment by	Mr John Halliwell (1225508)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-9
Response Date	16/09/19 09:21
Consultation Point	Appendix 1c (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.8

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1c Kirkby Fleetham to Scruton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

B/127/004/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

The area should be designated as "Local Green Space" as it is an integral part of the character of Scruton village, running alongside one of the oldest streets in the village with many of the oldest houses. The loss of this space would detract significantly from the beauty of the village and without protection any future development on this land would lead to overwhelming of the local infrastructure including roads through the village. With a Right of Way running across the land it is used recreationally for walking and dog walking by villagers. At present there are no other designated Local Green Spaces within the village with this space really tying the village into it's rural surroundings and being a key part of the village's character.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

The site should be recommended as preferred Local Green Space.

Comment by

Mr P Lithglow (1226766)

Comment ID

LPreg19-LGS-19

Response Date

02/09/19 13:43

Consultation Point	Hambleton Local Plan: Local Green Space Assessment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.17
Files	Appendix D Reps.pdf Representation Form Appendix D ian Lyle Lithlow LPreg 19 - LGS - 19redacted.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 1b Great Ayton to Kirkby and Great Broughton

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on Site ALT/S/058/032/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

The representors consider that the proposed allocation of their land east of Easby Lane, Great Ayton as part of a larger proposed Local Green Space allocation ALT/S/058/032/G, as proposed on the Draft Policies Map and in Appendix D of the Publication Draft Local Plan is unsound for the following reasons: It is not Justified, Effective or Consistent with National Planning Policy for the following reasons: NPPF paras 99-101 provides the relevant national guidance on the allocation of such Green Spaces. Paragraph 100 states: '100. The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is: a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves; b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.' As the site lies within Great Ayton it is close to a local community but as it is entirely private garden land with no public access, it no more 'serves' the local community than any mature private garden within Great Ayton. The Plan does not seek to designate all such private gardens as Local Green Space. It is also not demonstrably 'special' to that community

as evidenced by the lack of any support whatsoever for its allocation as a Local Green Space through the Public Consultation Exercise undertaken by Hambleton Council in 2017. The only responses (3 No.) to that exercise supported the Council's decision at that time not to allocate it as Local Green Space because of its private nature. It must be noted that NPPF Para 100 requires that a Local Green Space designation should only be used where the land in question is both 'demonstrably special to the local community and also of some other 'particular local significance'. It is noted that the land already falls within a Conservation Area and is therefore of some historic significance. However as the site already fails the first test and it is necessary to pass both tests, then heritage significance on its own is not sufficient justification for the allocation of this land as a Local Green Space without evidence of its 'specialness' to the local community – of which there is none. Equally the site is not of recreational value as there is no public access and surveys have demonstrated it is not of significant wildlife value. The proposed allocation of site ALT/S/058/032/G, is not therefore consistent with national policy. In this respect it is noted that NPPF Para 101 states: ' Policies for managing development within a Local Green Space should be consistent with those for Green Belts' effectively putting Local Green Spaces on a par with Green Belts On this basis very special circumstances are needed to justify a Local Green Space allocation including the fact that its objectives cannot be achieved by other more conventional planning measures. Given that the site is within the Conservation Area it is considered that any impact on its historic significance can more appropriately be addressed under current / proposed heritage policy and legislation and its allocation as a Local Green Space achieves no additional benefit and is not justified and will not be effective. In the light of the above it is requested that site ALT/S/058/032/G be deleted from Appendix D

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

the land is a private garden land with no public access, The Plan does not seek to designate all such private gardens as Local Green Space. It is also not demonstrably 'special' to that community as evidenced by the lack of any support whatsoever for its allocation as a Local Green Space through the Public Consultation Exercise undertaken by Hambleton Council in 2017. The only responses (3 No.) to that exercise supported the Council's decision at that time not to allocate it as Local Green Space because of its private nature.

Comment by	Stokesley Town Council (Debbie Young - 1229981)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-41
Response Date	17/09/19 17:20
Consultation Point	Appendix 1d (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.7
Files	2019-09-16 Stokesley Town Council LPreg19-1036.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

Stokesley area

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Stokesley Town Council would also like to see the inclusion of Neasham Wood as Green Belt / Open Space.

Comment by	Stokesley Town Council (Debbie Young - 1229981)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-39
Response Date	17/09/19 17:09
Consultation Point	Appendix 1d (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.7
Files	2019-09-16 Stokesley Town Council LPreg19-1036.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/142/043/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Site - ALT/S/142/043/G - Land Alongside the B1365 at Cedarwood Avenue and Ashwood Avenue
Stokesley Town Council believe that this area meets the criteria in that 'The site is 'local', is in 'reasonably close proximity to the community it serves' and possess local significance because of its recreational value' and therefore should be included as local green space.

Comment by	Stokesley Town Council (Debbie Young - 1229981)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-40
Response Date	17/09/19 17:16
Consultation Point	Appendix 1d (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.6
Files	2019-09-16 Stokesley Town Council LPreg19-1036.pdf

Please tells us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the

Combined Recommendations Report

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on

ALT/S/142/045/G

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Object

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Site ALT/S/142/045/G - Strip of Land South of Westlands, extending into Riversdene, Stokesley, North Yorkshire Stokesley Town Council believe that the above area has been incorrectly assessed as a single area. It should be assessed as two separate areas which are divided along the line of the fencing which is in situ. The area to the north of the fencing would retain the current assessment which concludes 'the site seems to be a highway verge and therefore not suitable for designation'. However, the area to the south of the fencing has the same characteristics and use as the nearby sites ALT/S/142/051/G and ALT/S/142/052/G. On that basis it should be assessed similarly, i.e 'The site is 'local' and is in 'reasonably close proximity to the community it serves' and appears to be locally significant for its potential amenity value; unlike a number of other potential sites in the area, the site is not a highway verge.'

Comment by	Mrs Judith Cooper (1220191)
Comment ID	LPreg19-LGS-13
Response Date	17/09/19 08:09
Consultation Point	Appendix 3 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.9
Files	Cooper June 2019 letter to HDC about Leven Valley Green Space Designation redacted.pdf Cooper June Letter Appendix 1 redacted.pdf Cooper June Letter Appendix 2 redacted.pdf Cooper June Letter Appendix 3 redacted.pdf

Please tell us which part of the Local Green Space Assessment you are commenting on.

I am commenting on the Appendix 3 Response to Consultation

Please tell us which section you are commenting on.

Please use paragraph numbers (e.g. 4.1.2), table numbers (e.g. Table 5.1), sub-section numbers (e.g. 4.1) or site references (e.g. N/003/003).

Please **do not** use page numbers to identify the section you are commenting on.

I am commenting on LPreg19-LGS-3

Please tell us whether you support, object or have observations.

Have observations

Comments

Please enter your comment here. You should say why you either support or object to this part of the document.

Please remember that comments will be made publicly viewable. Therefore please do not include information of a personal nature that you would want to be kept confidential.

You can put forward changes to address the issue(s) you have identified (see below).

Please note: There are no limits on the length of comments, but please be as concise as possible, including only that which is necessary to explain your comment.

You can support your comment with supporting documents if you wish (see below) but please include clear references and reasoning as to why any attachments support your comment.

Note: Any comments that rely entirely on supporting documents and state 'See attached report' or similar for this question will not be accepted.

Please enter your comment here.

Further to our uploaded email correspondence for land West of Grade I listed Rudby Parish Church, which was overlooked in Green Space Consultation This is the text from our comments supporting Green Space to HDC from May 2018 (sent to [redacted] and more recently copied to James Campbell as one of the referred to Appendices): It is a beautiful rural landscape (fig 1) directly opposite the Grade I listed Parish Church, within a Conservation Area. It is integral to the setting of the Church and the character of the Village. This is an area of tranquility close to, but separating, Rudby and Hutton Rudby. The field gently slopes down to the River Leven. It has a public footpath running along its northern border and can be seen from footpaths along the bank and sloping wooded countryside to the south of the river (fig 2), as well as from the Parish Church the 18th century Hutton Bridge and the road and footpath between Rudby and Hutton Rudby (fig 3). This is an important wildlife area. There are many owls in the surrounding trees which can heard calling to each other in the evening. House martins swoop around in large numbers catching insects and, as the sun goes down, the bats take over. There are a number of herons, water hens and we have seen kingfishers, in addition to many other birds, mammals and insects that you would expect to see in such an environment. The river is home to brown trout and it is a very beautiful and calm environment for fly fishing, surrounded by trees and rolling green fields. Salmon have spawned in the river for the first time since the 18th century after a fish pass was built at Leven Bridge - indicating the health of the river. The area is also of local historic importance. As mentioned it is a key part of the rural landscape that the Parish Church sits in. It is adjacent to Hutton Bridge (fig 4). Just across the river are the sites of the sailcloth mill and Hutton corn mill. The adjacent property of Leven Valley is the site of the Rudby corn mill (fig 5), with buildings there dating back to the 1700s (fig 6). The single track lane along its northern boundary is a busy public footpath enjoyed by many (fig 7). This was originally the cart track leading to the Rudby corn mill and subsequently also to the bleaching mill for the sail cloth (fig 8) just across the river in the late 1800s (fig9). This beautiful historic rural landscape is already well recognised by HDC and the community of Hutton Rudby as an area of importance for Hutton Rudby that needs to be protected: The Village Design Statement (VDS) was written by local residents in 1999. Of the Valley it states that: 'the whole of the valley is of the utmost importance to the Village as a landscape feature, as a wildlife and recreational resource and for the setting of the most historic building in the Village - the Parish Church All Saints.' 'The Leven valley is of great importance and should be protected from any adverse impact.' The VDS is important because it was written by local residents after extensive consultation with the community and it is used as an advisory document for the current Local Plan (LP) (adopted in 2010). Most residents will be aware that a new Neighborhood Plan (NP) is being developed. We understand that the extensive community consultation by the NP Steering Group (NPSG) shows continuing support for much of the VDS, and that there is a lot of support for protecting the Leven Valley through the use of Local Green Space designations, where appropriate. Hambleton District Council planning comments about the proposed site from 2012: Comments were in relation to the same field: 11/02472/FUL: Change of use of land from agricultural to equestrian and for construction of a stable block at Land East Of Leven Valley Farm And South Of South View Hutton Rudby North Yorkshire for Mr R Readman.

Committee date 2nd Feb 2012: 'The site is outside the settlement limits of Hutton Rudby, and is in the open area alongside the River Leven, which forms a break between the main settlement of Hutton Rudby and the further parts of the village located on the Rudby Bank side.' 'The field is very publicly visible and it has an important role in the local setting of this part of the village, alongside the river and wooded bank to the south, and the church and other woody areas amongst the residential uses to the north. It thus forms an important open area.....' 'Harm to the local setting – it is readily agreed that this field is part of an important and sensitive space in the middle of this part of the village.....' Hambleton District Council Settlement Character Assessment. The River Leven and, views along the river, feature very prominently in relation to Hutton Rudby and, in particular, to the Levenside character area. For the above reasons we think it is important that this area is protected by Local Green Space designation.

Please tell us whether changes can be made to address the issue(s) you have identified.

Can the issue(s) you have identified be addressed by making changes to the plan? Yes

Proposed changes

Please tell us what changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified.

It would be helpful if you could include revised wording. Please identify additional text by underlining it (**U**) and identifying any text to be deleted by striking it through (**ABC**).

What changes would address the issue(s) that you have identified?

All this land should be included as Green Space with no sub-areas excluded.